Program Viability Audit April, 2003

Campus:

School/College:

Department:

T1 Subsidy per SCH (FY2001): \$-4

% of Direct Instructional

Costs Recouped (FY2001) 102%

FY2002 Ranked Regular Faculty: 15 80%

Percent Tenured:

Comparison to National Data By Discipline (FY2001)

Department National (Median) Percent of National Cost per SCH: \$118 \$143 83% Fall 2000 SCH per Faculty FTE: 67% 198 297 \$17,010 106% Research/Service per Faculty FTE: \$18,088

Departmental Information:

		FY1998	SCH FY2002	5-YR	FY1998	Degrees FY2002	5-YR	FS1998	Majors FS2002	5-1	YR
	Department Total Percent of SCH Service (FY2002)	16,930	24,798 66%	46%	35	78	123%	478	585	22	2%
Program	Degree 										
PROGRAM ONE	BACHELOR OF SCIENCE				2	1	(50%)	15	10	(33	3%)
PROGRAM TWO	DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY BACHELOR OF SCIENCE MASTER OF SCIENCE				24	40 22	67%	383	5 418 90	9	9%
PROGRAM THREE	BACHELOR OF ARTS MASTER OF ARTS				6	9	50% 100%	58 22	44 18		4왕) 8왕)

Department Profile Sample

August, 2006

Campus: Campus Name

School/College: COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCES

Department: Department Name

Departmental Cost Data based on UM School/College Cost Study (FY2004)

Tier 1 - Direct and Allocated Primary Program Costs less Total Income (Tl Subsidy)	\$610,441
Tier 2 - Tier 1 plus Allocated Student Aid & Support Costs less Total Income (T2 Subsidy)	\$1,055,060
Tier 3 - Tier 2 plus Campus Support Costs less Total Income (T3 Subsidy)	\$1,677,186
Tier 4 - Tier 3 plus System Overhead & Depreciation less Total Income (T4 Subsidy)	\$1,823,093
School/College Full Cost of Instruction per SCH	\$402

Departmental Data Based on Delaware Costs & Productivity (FY2004):

Direct Instructional Costs per SCH: \$395 Total: \$2,098,579
Costs Less Gross Student Fees per SCH: \$125 Total: \$664,186
Percent of Direct Instructional Costs Covered by Gross Fees: 68%

Compared to National Data By Discipline (FY2004)

compared to National Data by Diberprine (112001)			
	Unit	National (Median)	Percent of National
Departmental Average Cost per SCH:	\$395	\$239	165%
School/College Average Cost per SCH:	\$185		
Fall SCH per Total Faculty FTE:	112	237	47%
Research/Service per Ranked Regular Faculty FTE:	\$65,330	\$201,594	32%

Departmental Information:

FY2006 Full-Time Ranked Regular Faculty: 15
Percent Tenured: 73%

SCH Degrees Majors FY02 FY03 FY04 FY05 5-YR FY01 FY02 FY03 FY04 FY05 FS00 FS02 5-YR FS01 5-YR Department Total 5,107 5,109 5,495 5,607 5,792 13% 30 36 39 20 31 3% 160 138 141 128 159 (1%) Percent Service 70% 71% 72% 75% 73% Program Degree Program Name BACHELOR OF ARTS 9 75% (7%) BACHELOR OF SCIENCE 11 10 10 (45%) 69 60 60 53 23% MASTER OF SCIENCE 11 11 14 27% 26 18 18 23 18 (31%) DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY 4 8 5 0 % 38 29 32 28 31 (18%) MINOR

Program Viability Audit October, 2003

Campue: School/College: Department:

UM Campus SCHs FS1999, F52000, FS2001

Property	Departm	ental Information:		DRIGGO	T011000	Dancos	ESPANI	Donato	5 Yr		
Parent Former	FTE Face	alty		FS1998				FR2002	Change		
Figure F									-17%		
Page			Full Time Non-Regular		13.00	12.00					
Program											
PROGRAM CNNE	Majora										
DOTING OPPILGOPHY		Program	Degree								
ROCIEMY WO		PROGRAM ONE							-33%		
MINOR		PROGRAM TWO	BACHELOR OF SCIENCE	383	421	482	499	417	9%		
RECORDATIBIEE											
Total MinOR		PROGRAM THREE	BACHELOR OF ARTS	58	47	44	52	44			
Total						-			-18%		
Proof Proo		Total	MINOR	-	-				2256		
Name of Principal Princip		1000		410	230	014	0.5	5404	22.4		
Reside Regular A468 A464 A367 5,815 A673 5,905 614 A476 A47				FY1998	FY1999	FY2000	FY2001	FY2002	FY2003		
Pall Time Non-Regular	Student (Credit Hours									
Agency			Ranked Regular	4,408	4,414	4,857	5,835	4,673	3,995	6%	
Page											
Proposed Service House Proposed General Ho											
Propess										24%	
Program											
Program Degree Program Degree Program Degree Program Program	D										
PROGRAM TWO BACHELORS 24 19 29 35 40 55 190%	Degrees /		Degree								
MASTERS 0 0 0 1 8 22 27 27 27 27 27 27 27		PROGRAM ONE	BACHELORS	2	5	5	3	1	4	-20%	***
PROGRAM THREE BACHELORS 6 5 5 6 9 6 20% **** Total 3 3 7 5 6 4 30% Total 3 3 7 5 6 4 30% Indicate degree program, on average, availed less than the CBHE minimum number of degrees in the last three flood years.		PROGRAM TWO								190%	
Total Tota		DOWNER M. STUDES	1-4-1-1-1-1-1							2004	
Total Stady Color Colo		PROGRAM THREE									
Minimum Budolora - 10, Minimum - 5, Dectoral/Perferenced - 3		Total		35	32	47	57	78	96	200%	
T1 Subsidy per SCH (PY2001)	•••	minore regree program, on average, awarest one case on course minimum manner or regrees in one set once awar years.									
Delaware Study Discipline Data (FY2601) Ecp Per Regular FTE	UM Full	Cost Study Using Delaware Data									
Delaware Study Discipline Data (FV2601) Exp Per Regular FVE SCH											
Per Per Ragalar PTE SCH SCH Renearch Service			N of Liest Harrictional Costa Recorped (F12001)	10276							
Uni-9x Louis SCH SCH Remarch Service	Delaware	Study Discipline Data (FY2001)					_				
UM-St. Louis \$118 \$198 \$17,411 \$677 National Median \$143 \$297 \$16,497 \$209 Percent of National Median \$314 \$297 \$16,497 \$209 Percent of National Median \$314 674 1094 \$244 Delaware Study Three Vear Average SCHz - FS1998, FS1999, FS2660 Ranked Regular Floridy Faculty Total Student Credit Hourn 1,769 9,452 Divide by National Norm SCHz 214 279 FTE Parally Needed 80 33.9 UM-St. Louis Floridy 185 476											
National Median \$143 \$297 \$16,497 \$209				SC4	oon	DOMESTICAL PROPERTY.	3007330				
Percent of National Median 25% 67% 106% 324%											
Delaware Study Three Year Average SCHis - FS1998, FS1999, FS2600 Ragistar Ragistar All											
Ranked Regular All			Paralle of Paralle Media		07.4	100.4	32474				
Regular All	Delaware	Study Three Year Average SCHs - FS1998	FS1999, FS2000	Deck -							
Faculty Faculty						All					
Divide by National Noem SCHs 214 279 FTE Pearlty Neehed 80 33.9 UM-8t. Louis Poolity 18.5 47.6											
FTE Faculty Needed 8.0 33.9 UM-St. Louis Faculty 18.5 47.6											
UM-St. Louis Faculty 18.5 47.6											
				-		0.00					
			FTE Gap	(10.5)		(13.7)					

Page 1 of 1 Criginal Campus Venion of PVA.ah Short

3 Year Avenage FTE UM-St. Losis 3 Year Co. Cumpus SCH Avenage UM-St. Losis 3 Year Total SCH Avenage Divide by 3 Year SCH Avenage UM Other 3 Year Avenage FTE Floridly Nooded 3 Year Avenage FTE Gap FT NonRegular Regular
12.7 8.6
257 293
3,260 2,515
262 347
12.4 7.3
(0.3) (1.3)

FT Regular 16.6 105 1,737 159 10.9 (5.7)

Cost Study Full Cost of Academic Divisions, Colleges, and Schools

- <u>Purpose</u>: To determine for each academic division, college, and school on each of the four campuses:
 - 1. The historical full cost of the unit
 - 2. The full cost of instruction and research/public service in the unit
 - 3. The unit's average cost per credit hour by student level
 - 4. The amount of income attributable to the unit
 - 5. The amount of student aid by unit
- Scope: Operations and Continuing Education Funds (PS funds 0000,0405,0445,0450)
- <u>Goal</u>: To appropriately and accurately match costs (inputs), revenues (outputs), and student credit hours (outputs) by academic college/school/division (cost center).

• General Methodology

- 1. <u>Designate specific cost centers.</u> Cost centers were identified as the academic colleges, schools, and divisions plus a community service cost center not associated with an academic division. All costs, direct and indirect, were attributed to these cost centers.
- 2. <u>Identify consistent categories of cost.</u> Costs were categorized primarily by program classification. Scholarship expense includes all expenditures classified as student aid (regardless of PCS) and/or scholarship PCS codes.
- 3. <u>Use tier costing methods to further categorize and allocated costs</u>. Support units were full-costed to the extent possible before they were allocated to the academic cost centers. Specific cost allocation procedures were employed to allocated costs and are described below.
- 4. <u>Development of Unit Costs.</u> Once all costs had been identified and assigned to appropriate cost centers, unit costs were developed. The primary measure was instructional costs per credit hour. Using weighted credit hours by student level, costs were spread to student levels and unit costs by level were determined for each academic cost center. Costs per student were developed for selected professional programs.

• Allocation of Costs to Cost Centers

- 1. Direct expenditures budgeted in and controlled by a cost center were allocated directly to each cost center. This included both primary program and support costs.
- 2. Primary program expenditures not budgeted in a cost center, but identified with or assignable to a cost center were allocated by type of expense in the following ways:
 - o Primary program expenditures identified with a specific cost center(s) were allocated directly to the cost center(s).
 - o Remaining on-campus instruction expenditures were allocated based on relative credit hours generated by a cost center.

- o Remaining research expenditures were allocated based on relative expenditures for research by each cost center.
- o All community education and community service expenditures (PCS 1.3 and 3.2) were allocated to the community service pseudo-cost center.
- 3. Scholarship and fellowship expenditures were allocated to the academic cost centers.
 - Student aid expenses directly identified with a cost center were allocated to the cost center.
 - o All other student aid expenses were allocated to cost centers based on the credit hours generated by the cost center, by student level and residency.
- 4. System administration overhead and depreciation were allocated to cost centers, campus overhead units, and UM Extension.
 - System administration and university-wide overhead expenditures were prorated based on relative expenditures for tiers (cost categories or levels) one through three.
 - O Depreciation expense for capital assets, per the financial statements, was allocated based on relative expenditures for tiers (levels) one through three.
- 5. Campus depreciation, per the financial statements, was allocated to the cost centers and campus support units based on assignable square footage of physical plant space.
- 6. Operation and maintenance of physical plant expenses were allocated to the cost centers and campus support units based on assignable square footage of physical plant space.
- 7. Full costs for institutional support, student services, and academic support activities were allocated to the cost centers in three different ways by type of support function.
 - Institutional support costs were allocated to the cost centers based on the relative expenses for direct costs and allocated primary program costs less any direct expenditures for institutional support of plant O&M in the cost centers.
 - Student service support costs were allocated based on the proportion of headcount majors of the academic cost centers.
 - o Academic support expenditures were divided into three categories: academic administration, library expenditures, and other academic support activities.
 - Academic administration costs were allocated to the cost centers based on their relative expenditures for primary programs; direct and allocated.
 - Library expenditures were allocated on the basis of headcount majors and teaching and research faculty.
 - All other academic support costs were allocated on the basis of headcount majors.
- Allocation of Full Costs Between Primary Programs. Full costs for the academic cost centers were allocated into two categories: full cost of instruction and full cost of research/public service activities.
 - o Full Cost of Instruction

- Direct and allocated instruction expenditures plus their proportionate share of system overhead and depreciation
- Direct and allocated expenditures for student aid, student services, and other academic support activities, plus their proportionate share of system overhead and depreciation
- Costs for libraries, academic administration, institutional support, plant operations and maintenance, and campus depreciation were assumed to benefit all primary programs and were allocated in the same proportion as primary program costs.
- o Full Cost of Research/Public Service activities
 - Direct and allocated research and public service expenditures plus their proportionate share of system overhead and depreciation
 - Costs for libraries, academic administration, institutional support, plant operations and maintenance, and campus depreciation were allocated in the same proportion as primary program costs.

• Unit Cost Calculations

- o Instructional cost per credit hour produced
- o Weighted costs were developed to determine the relative cost by student level.
- o Weights of 1.0, 3.0, 4.5, and 7.0 were assigned to undergraduate, masters, first professional, and doctoral credit hours respectively.

• Income Calculations

- O Tuition was calculated based on the student credit hours generated by level, by residency for most academic units.
- o Instructional computing fees as reported in the financial statements were allocated in proportion to the student credit hours generated by the unit.
- o All other fees and other income that could be identified with an academic unit were allocated to that academic unit.
- o The income described above is the gross income generated by the unit.
- O Student aid is subtracted from the gross income to determine net income generated by the academic unit. This net income can then be compared to the costs of the unit (at different cost allocation tiers) to determine the campus subsidy to the unit.
- Student Aid Calculations. Student aid is made up of three component parts.
 - o Student aid expended directly by the academic unit
 - o Student aid directly associated with an academic unit
 - o Student aid allocated to the academic unit. Centrally awarded student aid is allocated to the academic units by student level and residency based on credit hours produced.