



MISSOURI

ADDENDUM NUMBER 1

March 1, 2021

BID REQUEST #21063

FOR

TREE MAINTENANCE

The above entitled specifications are modified as follows and except as set forth herein otherwise remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

Please note the following change to the original BID:

- 1)** Change the Bid Opening/Due Date as it appears in the original BID –
From: Tuesday, March 9, 2021 at 2:00 PM Central Time
To: Thursday, March 11, 2021 at 2:00 PM Central Time

Please note the following single set of emailed questions to the BID as of the February 26, 2021, 2:00 PM Central Time Deadline for Questions and the Reply:

QUESTION:

1) Is it the intention of the university that these hourly rates (per building) be based off the worst case scenario for a particular property? For example: The rockhill houses which we understand to include the fraternity homes on the collasac between 51st and 52nd street have several very large trees, with strong canopies that overlap the homes. If we were called out to that property for a full removal the hourly rate would differ dramatically from if we were called out to trim. In those particular instances one tree specifically would most likely require a crane to be on site if a removal was needed. The hourly rate for having that level of equipment on site is obviously higher then if we just had a bucket truck there, or if we just had climbers, or if we just used pole saws for basic low level trims.

Another such example would be the Rockhill and Cherry Street fronts several scenarios could occur in relation to addressing the trees in the large grassy area to the north of the Rep. If we were there to just do one removal the hourly rate would be X however if we were called out to do a large quantity of trims/ removals on that site we would be running simultaneous climbers / ground crews. This would increase the hourly rate, but also decrease the amount of time onsite.

We would like clarification as to if you intend for us to bid by building based off the worse case scenario on that property OR if there is a different thought process behind that section.

- 2) Please clarify if the large grassy area between University House and Cockefair Hall should be bid as part of University House or as Part of Cockefair Hall?
- 3) Please clarify if the large grassy area between the law school and the Bloch heritage is viewed as part of the law school building or Bloch building?
- 4) Please clarify how the trees in the courtyard of Royall, Scofield, and Newcomb should be divided?

If preferred we can bid Items 2-4 above as identical per building so that the large area trees are covered accurately regardless of how they may be assigned when we are called out for work, but that is somewhat unfair because Royall for instance contains mainly small trees directly adjacent to it - which would be serviced at a much lower hourly rate then potentially the larger trees in the courtyard ...

We are primarily trying to determine how to best communicate our pricing structure based off the bid perimeters. Any guidance is appreciated.

On an aside: there were several large trees identified in our site visit which are in visible distress and should some corrective measures not be taken could die. Regardless of if the bid is awarded to us we would be happy to send that detail on to you, so that you can have them on the radar.

UM REPLY:

See the following / attached 4 pages which clarify the bid pricing needs and service areas.

FOR THE CURATORS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI

JAMES E. SHATTO

James E. Shatto
Strategic Sourcing Specialist
University of Missouri – System Procurement

Please acknowledge receipt of this addendum by stating so on the face of page one of your bid submission.