ADDENDUM NUMBER 1

DATED DECEMBER 16, 2021
TO
SPECIFICATIONS TO REQUEST FOR BIDS #22052
FOR
ePORTFOLIO SYSTEM

The above entitled specifications are hereby modified as follows and except as set forth herein otherwise remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

Bid Extension
The bid due date is extended to January 6, 2021 at 11:00 AM CST

Questions and Answers

1. **Question:** When do you expect to provide written responses to our questions? Please note: given the holidays, we will need to complete and ship our response by Friday, December 17, 2021, in order to ensure its timely arrival.

   Answer: We have extended the due date to Jan 6th

2. **Question:** Do you anticipate presentations? If so, when?

   Answer: This has not been determined

3. **Question:** When do you expect to award the contract?

   Answer: This has not been determined but is a priority

4. **Question:** When do you want the contract to start?

   Answer: This will be based on when the award is made

5. **Question:** Attachment D. Clarifying question for Desirable Criteria - Item 11: What type of customizability would be available? What kind of customization are you looking for?

   Answer: We are interested in seeing what would be offered by your company

6. **Question:** Page 15. Within the Scope section of the RFP, you reference more than 73,000 students as your total active learners. Is this the number we should use to calculate your pricing? Additionally, please provide a breakdown by institution, including online students.

   Answer: Today, the number of students we use in licensing is an average of 55,000. That number can change as outlined in the RFP of potentially more than 73,000. The pricing should be presented as shown in the RFP Request for Proposal Form.

7. **Question:** Page 15. The system must meet IMS ePortfolio standards and be fully implemented by July 2022. Is July 2022 the goal for a fully implemented system-wide launch? If not, please elaborate.

   Answer: Yes - we must have it fully implemented by July 1, 2022

8. **Question:** Page 16. In the RFP, you describe the requirements for Volume I and what should be included. The first bullet states "Response to Information for Respondents and General Conditions" but this is just a list of terms with no place for acknowledgment or anything to complete. What is your expectation for responding to this requirement?

   Answer: You can add a line to your RFP submission approving the terms or providing your term modifications

9. **Question:** Page 16. In the RFP, you describe the requirements for Volume II and what should be included. The last bullet asks for "Financial statements, if required". Can you clarify this? What would require this from our team?

   Answer: Financial statements are not required for this RFP

10. **Question:** Page 24. In the RFP, a high-level pricing sheet was provided for us to complete. The RFP states that Respondent must complete and return the University Proposal Form with proposal response, and supplier quote sheets are not acceptable forms of bidding and could cause rejection of response. But the RFP also states that as part of Volume II, we should submit the Request for Proposal Form with any supplemental pricing schedules, if applicable. Furthermore, under the requirements for Volume II on Page 16, the RFP asks for a "Financial Summary including additional costs, if any, for Desirable Specification Compliance, functional or technical." Can you clarify?

    Answer: Yes, the Proposal Form is the pricing response we are requiring. We do not need nor will accept a quote sheet or invoice type document. We expect the proposal form to be completed. However, you can add supplemental pricing sheets for additional costs, etc.

11. **Question:** To confirm, requirements for Volume One and Volume Two should be in two separate documents, correct?

    Answer: Yes

12. **Question:** What are your assessment challenges now and what are you trying to solve?

    Answer: We need to provide additional ways for students to reflect, as well as human-mediated and automated pathways for them to earn micro credentials

13. **Question:** Has your institution done any work on digital credentials, outcomes-transcript, and Comprehensive Learner Record (CLR) with Lumina Foundation and/or AACRAO?

    Answer: Yes - one of our campuses has been working very closely with AACRAO on a CLR

14. **Question:** Do you have a database or a system on your campus with courses, co-curricular experiences, and learning outcomes (ILOs, PLOs, CLOs), and rubric?

    Answer: Partial mapping of these is available in Coursetune

15. **Question:** What is your current process for supporting assessment, accreditation, and strategic planning? Is there a system in place?

    Answer: No, not a consistent, centralized system

16. **Question:** Do you have an existing ePortfolio system or solution?

    Answer: Just the Canvas native tool, along with some local departmental usage of Foliotek and Digication

17. **Question:** In addition to your Canvas LMS, please share with us other technology solutions that enable you to complete assessment and store your data (eg course evaluation system, student information system, other LMSs, etc.)?

    Answer: Peoplesoft, Percipio

18. **Question:** How many users will be needed for the ePortolios and how many users for Badging?

    Answer: We plan on making both of these available across the system, to faculty, staff and students

19. **Question:** On the Accessible ICT standards tab of Attachment C, there are two links to follow. Are we to complete those and if so, where do you want the answers to be placed in the response?

    Answer: The first link is just informational. The second link is a survey and must be completed

20. **Question:** Does the product allow for digital content creation or customization? Can you specify what you mean by digital content?

    Answer: Native tools for digital content creation, particularly in reference to badges.

THE CURATORS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI SYSTEM

Melissa Wampler

By: Melissa Wampler, MS, PMI-PBA
IT- Strategic Sourcing Specialist
University of Missouri System Procurement