



# University of Missouri System

COLUMBIA | KANSAS CITY | ROLLA | ST. LOUIS

## Presidential Faculty Award for Intercampus Collaboration

### Description

The Inter-Campus Collaboration Award recognizes faculty who engage in activities that foster collaboration across two or more campuses of the University of Missouri System. Collaboration may be in the areas of teaching, scholarship, research, service, creativity, outreach or economic development.

### Number of awards and award amounts

One \$4,000 award, less applicable taxes divided evenly among the recipients (minimum of two collaborators).

### Who is eligible to be nominated?

All benefits-eligible faculty and faculty teams may be nominated. A single nominee may not have an appointment that is more than 50% administrative. If a team is nominated, the majority of the faculty on the team must have appointments that are no more than 50% administrative.

### Who may nominate?

Candidates may be nominated by any benefits-eligible faculty member or administrator.

### Selection Committee

Representatives from each university will be appointed by the provost.

### Timeline for Awards

- Call for nominations: December 1, 2020
- Nomination deadline: February 1, 2021

---

## Criteria

The criterion for the Inter-Campus Collaboration Award includes engagement in activities that promote successful collaboration across two or more UM campuses in the areas of teaching, scholarship, research, service, creativity, outreach or economic development. Generally the collaboration will leverage talent and resources to increase quality, efficiency or new programmatic development. The nomination requires two or more collaborators.

The nomination packet shall include in the following order:

- 1) Names, titles, employee IDs, departments, and campus mailing addresses of nominees.
  - 2) Signature of nominees.
  - 3) Signature of chair or dean of each nominee.
  - 4) A letter of support from each dean or appropriate administrator. Limit: two pages each.
  - 5) A joint statement from the nominees providing additional or clarifying information to strengthen the nomination. Limit: 500 words.
  - 6) A CV of five pages or less from each nominee emphasizing material relevant to the award.
  - 7) Up to five additional letters of support which may include external referees. Limit: two pages each.
- ✓ Do not scan transmittal letter or title pages between the above sections.
  - ✓ Materials utilizing font size smaller than 10 point will not be accepted.



# University of Missouri System

COLUMBIA | KANSAS CITY | ROLLA | ST. LOUIS

## Nominee's statement regarding guidelines

We understand that if the page limit on our CVs or the word limit on our joint statement is exceeded, this nomination will be returned without consideration.

Signature of nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Print name \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Print name \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Print name \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Print name \_\_\_\_\_

## Department Chair's statement

To the best of my knowledge, the nominee named below has complied with all of their faculty obligations, and is not currently the subject of any pending investigations or processes under the Collected Rules and Regulations.

Nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of department chair \_\_\_\_\_

Print name \_\_\_\_\_

Nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of department chair \_\_\_\_\_

Print name \_\_\_\_\_

Nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of department chair \_\_\_\_\_

Print name \_\_\_\_\_

Nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of department chair \_\_\_\_\_

Print name \_\_\_\_\_



# University of Missouri System

COLUMBIA | KANSAS CITY | ROLLA | ST. LOUIS

## Title IX

To the best of my knowledge after due diligence review, this nominee:

- ☐ Has not been found responsible for violation of the University's equity and nondiscrimination rules ([CRR Chapter 600](#)) and is not currently involved as a respondent in proceedings under those rules. I am not otherwise aware of information to indicate that providing a President's Award to this individual would reasonably be viewed as undermining the University's commitment to excellence, diversity and inclusion.
- ☐ Is currently a Respondent in a pending investigation for allegedly violating or has previously been found responsible for violating the University's equity and nondiscrimination rules ([CRR Chapter 600](#)). Given the current state, further review of the application is recommended to ascertain if providing the President's Award to this individual would reasonably be viewed as undermining the University's commitment to excellence, diversity and inclusion. Please explain the findings:

---

---

---

---

---

Nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Approval Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature (Office of Civil Rights & Title IX representative): \_\_\_\_\_

Nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Approval Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature (Office of Civil Rights & Title IX representative): \_\_\_\_\_

Nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Approval Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature (Office of Civil Rights & Title IX representative): \_\_\_\_\_

Nominee \_\_\_\_\_

Approval Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature (Office of Civil Rights & Title IX representative): \_\_\_\_\_

## Submission Instructions

Please scan the signed nomination packet in the correct order and submit electronically to your provost's office with a courtesy copy to the nominee's chair or administrative head. The provost office will forward to the UM Office of Academic Affairs.

Name the file as follows: 2021CollabAwdLastLastLast.pdf (e.g., 2021CollabAwdSmithWilliamsJones.pdf)