ASUM Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Friday, November 28, 2016 | Telepresence

- Reports
  - Executive Director
    - More relaxed role in meetings
    - Communicate if Dropbox is a problem
  - UMSL
    - BLM Panel, Date TBA
    - Successful Watch Parties!
  - UMKC
    - Election watch party
    - DACA questionnaires
  - S&T
    - Waffles & Weiner, Wednesday Nov 2nd
    - Steven Attending
    - Election Night Bash
  - MU
    - Engage STEM students on platform issue
    - Connect with Graduate students, Alumni Association
- Approval of Interns
  - Introductions MU
    - Aurdria, Junior, PolSci, Journeying through PolSci, experience in major
    - Chelsea, Junior, PolSci, interested in career path
  - UMSL
    - Jordan, Junior, Econ, Serve fellow students
  - Summary
    - Diversity of Majors, Professional Experiences
    - Exceptionally Competent
    - Strong Background Knowledge
    - Outgoing Personalities
  - Feedback
    - Heavy focus on new sources, neglected traditional routes
    - Welcome suggestions
    - Pay may help in the future
  - Team Approved!
- CRRs
  - UMSL
    - Preferred Pronouns
    - Process to communicate them to professors, and be recognized
  - S&T, Geoffrey
    - Groups make complains against institution
    - Non-anonymous

- Process doesn't currently exist
  - Faculty Service
    - What would a contribution to EDI look like?
      - Committees, Recruitment, Metrics
    - Faculty will want to engage in conversation
    - Curriculum Infusion Incentives
    - Goal: motivate more diverse & inclusive practices
    - Faculty Governance Groups handle implementation, harder to be transparent
  - Further ASUM Involvement?
    - System is Compiling Feedback, will present changes
  - Goal: December Board of Curators Meeting
    - This Month: Report on Results of Vetting
  - S&T, Jerri: Faculty vs. Staff
    - Are faculty equal to staff? Should they be?
    - Rights when facing a complaint
  - How were they received overall?
    - Faculty, IFC: Pushback on Timeline, extensive changes
    - Staff: Quieter, less rights than faculty
    - Staff, case heard by panel, notification claims
- Secondary Platforms
  - Amendment 6, ballot initiative
    - Yes: allow state const to require photo ID to vote
    - No: status quo
    - Student Impact
      - Specifically excludes student ID
      - Right now, we can
      - Out of state need passport or MO ID
      - Could impact finances, taxes
      - State would pay costs
    - Pros: Voter Fraud
    - ASUM Impact
      - Could decrease ability to register students
      - Millennials now largest potential voting block n MO
        - Equal portion nationally w/ Baby Boomers
      - Registrations give AUSM leverage
      - Recommendation: oppose amendment 6
      - Decrease accessibility for out of state, lower leveraging power, decrease student input, could lead to funding decreases
      - Geoff: needs compelling reason to restrict
      - Kaitie: allow for new academic perspectives and cultures to foster
  - Email List Discussions Before Voting
  - Paying Interns! $$$$
    - UMSL: $2k Scholarship for Credit Hours, Dr. Braddock Emailed and campus is funding

- S&T: $500 stipend each semester, exploring possibility of scholarship for course credit. Logistics of stipends, or lunch reimbursements. Hoping for David!
- Down the line: come together to pool resources
- UMKC: no movement
- MU: no movement
  - Events
    - S&T updating events on dropbox
    - Steven: Keeping momentum up to midterm elections