

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI
Columbia . Kansas City . Rolla . St. Louis



BOARD OF CURATORS

Minutes of the Board of Curators Meeting
April 12-13, 2018
Rolla, Missouri

BOARD OF CURATORS MEETING – PUBLIC SESSION

A meeting of the University of Missouri Board of Curators was convened in public session at 9:05 A.M., on Thursday, April 12, 2018, in St. Pat's Ballroom A&B of the Havener Center on the Missouri University of Science and Technology campus, Rolla, Missouri, pursuant to public notice given of said meeting. Curator David L. Steelman, Chair of the Board of Curators, presided over the meeting.

Present

The Honorable Julia G. Brncic
The Honorable Darryl M. Chatman
The Honorable Jamie L. Farmer
The Honorable Maurice B. Graham
The Honorable Jeffrey L. Layman
The Honorable John R. Phillips
The Honorable Phillip H. Snowden
The Honorable David L. Steelman
The Honorable Jon T. Sundvold

Also Present

Dr. Mun Y. Choi, President
Mr. Stephen J. Owens, General Counsel
Ms. Cindy Harmon, Secretary of the Board of Curators
Ms. Courtney Lauer, Student Representative to the Board of Curators
Ms. Marsha Fisher, Interim Associate Vice President for Human Resources
Dr. Chris Maples, Interim Chancellor for Missouri University of Science and Technology
Mr. Christian Basi, Director of Media Relations
Media representatives

General Business

Resolution for Executive Session of the Board of Curators Meeting

It was moved by Curator Graham and seconded by Curator Brncic, that there shall be an executive session with a closed record and closed vote of the Board of Curators meeting April 12-13, 2018 for consideration of:

- **Section 610.021(1), RSMo**, relating to matters identified in that provision, which include legal actions, causes of action or litigation, and confidential or privileged communications with counsel; and
- **Section 610.021(2), RSMo**, relating to matters identified in that provision, which include leasing, purchase, or sale of real estate; and
- **Section 610.021(3), RSMo**, relating to matters identified in that provision, which include hiring, firing, disciplining, or promoting of particular employees; and
- **Section 610.021(12), RSMo**, relating to matters identified in that provision, which include sealed bids and related documents and sealed proposals and related documents or documents related to a negotiated contract; and
- **Section 610.021 (13), RSMo**, relating to matters identified in that provision, which include individually identifiable personnel records, performance ratings, or records pertaining to employees or applicants for employment; and
- **Section 610.021 (17), RSMo**, relating to matters identified in that provision, which include confidential or privileged communications between a public governmental body and its auditor.

Roll call vote of the Board:

Curator Brncic voted yes.
Curator Chatman voted yes.
Curator Farmer was absent for vote.
Curator Graham voted yes.
Curator Layman voted yes.
Curator Phillips voted yes.
Curator Snowden voted yes.
Curator Steelman voted yes.
Curator Sundvold voted yes.

The motion carried.

The public session of the Board of Curators meeting recessed at 9:07 AM on Thursday, April 12, 2018.

Board of Curators Meeting – Executive Session

A meeting of the University of Missouri Board of Curators was convened in executive session at 9:10 A.M., on Thursday, April 12, 2018, in the Silver and Gold Room of the Havener Center on the Missouri University of Science and Technology campus, Rolla, Missouri, pursuant to public notice given of said meeting. Curator David L. Steelman, Chair of the Board of Curators, presided over the meeting.

Present

The Honorable Julia G. Brncic
The Honorable Darryl M. Chatman
The Honorable Jamie L. Farmer
The Honorable Maurice B. Graham
The Honorable Jeffrey L. Layman
The Honorable John R. Phillips
The Honorable Phillip H. Snowden
The Honorable David L. Steelman
The Honorable Jon T. Sundvold

Also Present

Dr. Mun Y. Choi, President
Mr. Stephen J. Owens, General Counsel
Ms. Cindy Harmon, Secretary of the Board of Curators

General Business

President's Report on performance goals – presented by President Choi

No action taken by the Board.

Interim Chancellor Maples and Interim Associate Vice President of Human Resources Fischer joined the meeting.

President's Report on Personnel Matters – presented by President Choi

No action taken by the Board.

Interim Chancellor Maples and Interim Associate Vice President Fischer excused themselves from the meeting.

General Counsel and Litigation Report – presented by General Counsel Owens

The executive session of the Board of Curators meeting recessed at 10:35 AM on Thursday, April 12, 2018.

10:30 A.M. Meeting by invitation for the Board of Curators, President and Intercampus Faculty Council
St. Pat's Ballroom C, Havener Center

12:00 P.M. Luncheon by invitation for the Board of Curators, President, General Officers and Missouri University of Science and Technology Student Leaders
Carver/Turner Room, Havener Center

1:15 P.M. Reconvened Public Session

A meeting of the University of Missouri Board of Curators was reconvened in public session at 1:35 P.M., on Thursday, April 12, 2018, in St. Pat's Ballroom A&B of the Havener Center on the Missouri University of Science and Technology campus, Rolla, Missouri, pursuant to public notice given of said meeting. Curator David L. Steelman, Chair of the Board of Curators, presided over the meeting.

Present

The Honorable Julia G. Brncic
The Honorable Darryl M. Chatman
The Honorable Jamie L. Farmer
The Honorable Maurice B. Graham
The Honorable Jeffrey L. Layman
The Honorable John R. Phillips
The Honorable Phillip H. Snowden
The Honorable David L. Steelman
The Honorable Jon T. Sundvold

Also Present

Dr. Mun Y. Choi, President
Mr. Stephen J. Owens, General Counsel
Ms. Cindy Harmon, Secretary of the Board of Curators
Ms. Courtney Lauer, Student Representative to the Board of Curators
Dr. Gary K. Allen, Vice President for Information Technology
Dr. Barbara A. Bichelmeyer, Interim Chancellor for University of Missouri – Kansas City
Dr. Alexander Cartwright, Chancellor for University of Missouri-Columbia

Mr. Jonathan Curtright, Chief Executive Officer, MU Health
Ms. Marsha Fisher, Interim Associate Vice President for Human Resources
Dr. Thomas F. George, Chancellor for University of Missouri-St. Louis
Dr. Steven W. Graham, Senior Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs
Dr. Chris Maples, Interim Chancellor for Missouri University of Science and Technology
Dr. Mark McIntosh, Vice President for Research and Economic Development
Dr. Kevin G. McDonald, Chief Diversity Officer
Ms. Michelle M. Piranio, Interim Chief Audit Executive
Mr. Ryan D. Rapp, Vice President for Finance
Dr. Marshall Stewart, Vice Chancellor, Extension and Engagement
Ms. Christine Holt, Chief of Staff, UM System
Mr. Christian Basi, Director of Media Relations
Media representatives

General Business

Student Representative to the Board of Curators Report – presented by Courtney Lauer
(information only)

Ms. Lauer reported on student leader activities for each campus.

Consent Agenda

It was endorsed by President Choi, moved by Curator Graham and seconded by Curator Layman, that the following items be approved by consent agenda:

Consent Agenda

1. Minutes, February 1-2, 2018 Board of Curators Meeting
2. Minutes, February 1-2, 2018 Board of Curators Committee Meetings
3. Minutes, March 1, 2018 Special Board of Curators Meeting
4. Minutes, March 20, 2018 Special Board of Curators Meeting and Finance Committee Meeting
5. Degrees, Spring Semester 2018 for all campuses
6. Amendment, Collected Rules and Regulations 340.070, Faculty Leave, UM
7. New Collected Rules and Regulations 280.040, Student Involuntary Health Leave of Absence Rules and Regulations
8. Approval of Spinal Cord Injuries and Congenital or Acquired Disease Processes Research Program Proposals
9. Sole Source – Inhaled Nitric Oxide, MUHC
10. Sole Source – Chargemaster Software, MUHC

11. Revisions, University of Missouri – Kansas City School of Dentistry Standards of Professional Conduct and Honor Council Procedures, UMKC

1. Minutes, February 1-2, 2018 Board of Curators Meeting – as provided to the curators for review and approval.
2. Minutes, February 1-2, 2018 Board of Curators Committee Meetings - as provided to the curators for review and approval.
3. Minutes, March 1, 2018 Special Board of Curators Meeting – as provided to the curators for review and approval.
4. Minutes, March 20, 2018 Special Board of Curators Meeting and Finance Committee Meeting – as provided to the curators for review and approval.
5. Degrees, Spring Semester 2018 for all campuses –

that the action of the President of the University of Missouri System in awarding degrees and certificates to candidates recommended by the various faculties and committees of the four University of Missouri System campuses who fulfill the requirements for such degrees and certificates at the end of the Spring Semester 2018, shall be approved, and that the lists of said students who have been awarded degrees and certificates be included in the records of the meeting.

6. Amendment, Collected Rules and Regulations 340.070, Faculty Leave, UM –

Chapter 340: Employee Absences

340.070 Faculty Leave

Bd. Min. 1-31-08; Amended 2-06-09; Amended 12-10-10; Amended 7-27-12; Amended 2-9-17; Amended Bd. Min. 4-12-18.

- A. **Professional Leave** – Within the specifications described below, each campus will develop an application and review process to approve leaves and stipulate the duration (not to exceed one year) and support associated for each leave aligned with institutional priorities and commensurate with available resources. All faculty members who accept a paid leave must state their intention to return to the University for the same amount of time they plan to be gone. Return of the faculty member for such period of time does not guarantee that the period of such leave shall be taken into account as service credit for purposes of the University’s Retirement, Disability, and Death Benefit Plan. Exemptions to returning to the University at the conclusion of the leave can only be granted by the president upon recommendation of the chancellor. Additionally, all faculty members must file a report on the accomplishments during the leave period as specified by their chancellor or chancellor’s designee.

1. **Research Leave.** Tenured, tenure-track, and full-time, ranked non-tenure track research faculty members with established scholarly, artistic or research records are eligible to apply for a research leave. A research leave may be taken for a period of time up to a full year. If a faculty member receives an external fellowship or grant support for a research leave, the University may supplement such support to provide full regular salary; if such external support is not received, the University may provide full regular salary. Additional travel funds and leave related expenses may be provided as deemed appropriate.
 2. **Development Leave.** Tenured, tenure-track and full-time, ranked non-tenure track faculty members are eligible to apply for a development leave to pursue personal, professional, instructional, or administrative development. Development leave may be taken for a period of time up to a full year. If a faculty member receives external fellowship or grant support for a development leave, the University may supplement such support to provide full regular salary; if such external support is not received, the University may provide full regular salary. Additional travel funds and leave related expenses may be provided as deemed appropriate.
 3. **Sabbatical Leave.** Tenured faculty members are eligible to apply for a sabbatical leave after six or more years of service, and can reapply for subsequent sabbatical leaves six years after the prior sabbatical leave. Sabbatical leaves may be taken for a period of time up to a full year. A faculty member on a sabbatical leave will receive up to one-half their regular annual salary. Additional travel funds and leave related expenses may be provided as deemed appropriate.
- B. **Personal Leave** – Within the specifications described below, each campus will develop an application and review process to approve personal leaves and stipulate the duration (not to exceed one year) and support associated for each leave. All leaves must be documented in writing, recorded, and approved by signature of the provost or designee.
1. **Leave of Absence.** Faculty members may apply for a personal leave without pay. A leave of absence may be granted in cases of exceptional personal or institutional reasons, such as medical leave beyond the requirements of the Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA). A leave of absence without pay may be granted for a period not to exceed one year and may not extend past the ending date of the faculty member's appointment. Any extension of the one year limit must be approved by the chancellor.
 2. **Paid Family and Medical Leave**
 1. A tenured, or tenure-track, or full-time, ranked non-tenure track faculty member who is eligible for family and medical leave (see Section 340.010) will be paid, up to 12 weeks, during any portion of such leave that occurs during the faculty member's normally scheduled work duties. Paid family and medical leaves cannot exceed more than two 12-week paid leaves within a six-year period. Additional leaves during any six-year period will be unpaid. However, if such faculty member is covered by another approved University vacation/sick leave policy, the provisions of such vacation/sick leave policy shall apply in determining the extent to which the family and medical leave shall be paid.
 2. Under no circumstance can a faculty member exceed 12 weeks of family and medical leave within any 12-month period unless such leave is for covered service member leave for which the maximum is described in Section 340.010. However, given that the traditional semester is 16-weeks

in duration, it is important not to interrupt the teaching and learning environment of students. Therefore, any faculty member who would normally have teaching responsibilities and is returning from a family and medical leave mid-semester will not be expected to return to the classroom until the start of a new term. In order to receive pay upon the return from a 12-week family and medical leave or a 26-week covered service member leave, a differentiated work load must be negotiated. This differentiated work load must be documented in writing and approved by the department chair, dean^[1] and the provost or designee. If negotiated, the faculty member may be eligible to receive pay for the remainder of the semester. Faculty members who take family and medical leave, either paid or unpaid, shall not, because of such leave, be assigned heavier work load or be otherwise discriminated against.

- C. **Military Leave** – See Section 340.010 and the HR Policy Manual for further information regarding military leave.
- D. **Definition of Full-time, Ranked, Non-Tenure (NTT) Faculty.** For purposes of this policy, there shall be four main types of full-time, ranked NTT faculty. Each should have primary responsibility in a single area: teaching, or research, or clinical practice, or extension activities. The titles should identify the area. Within each area, there shall be three ranks:
 - 1. Research faculty (Research Professor, Associate Research Professor, Assistant Research Professor)
 - 2. Teaching faculty (Teaching Professor, Associate Teaching Professor, Assistant Teaching Professor)
 - 3. Clinical/Professional Practice faculty (Clinical Professor, Associate Clinical Professor, Assistant Clinical Professor or Professional Practice Professor, Associate Professional Practice Professor, Assistant Professional Practice Professor)
 - 4. Extension faculty (Extension Professor, Associate Extension Professor, Assistant Extension Professor, Extension Professional, Associate Extension Professional, Assistant Extension Professional)
 - 5. Librarian faculty (Librarian I/II, Librarian III, Librarian IV) on campuses whose librarian faculty have opted for NTT status as a body, whose faculty council or faculty senate, as appropriate to the individual campus, has formally recognized librarian faculty as having NTT status as a body and whose Chancellor has approved such recognition.

[1] On campuses with no schools or colleges and, therefore, no deans, there is no requirement for approval by the dean.

- 7. New Collected Rules and Regulations 280.040, Student Involuntary Health Leave of Absence Rules and Regulations, UM

Chapter 280: Student Health

280.040 Student Involuntary Health Leave of Absence Rules and Regulations

- A. **Procedure Development.** Each Chancellor shall establish appropriate procedures consistent with this section for student involuntary health leave of absences. These procedures are subject to review and approval by the President and review and approval as to legal form by the Office of the General Counsel.
- B. **Scope.** The adoption and the administration of student involuntary health leave of absence procedures is delegated to the Chancellor of each campus. The following general principles shall guide the adoption and administration of those procedures.
1. That administration of student involuntary health leave of absence procedures shall be applicable to all students and be designed to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the campus community and that of the individual student to which it applies.
 2. That campus rules governing a student involuntary health leave of absence shall be compatible with the general rules of student conduct and equal employment/educational opportunity policies of the University of Missouri.
 3. That all practices must conform to applicable state and federal laws, and University rules and regulations.
 4. That the procedures shall provide for an appeal of the decision for a student involuntary health leave to the Chancellor.
 5. That student involuntary health leave shall be imposed only if a student declines to take voluntary leave.
 6. That campus rules governing student involuntary health leave of absence must be placed in writing and disseminated or otherwise made available to all students to whom they apply.
- C. **Procedural Components.** Student involuntary health leave of absence procedures regarding students on each campus shall include clear standards for imposing a student involuntary health leave of absence, conducting individualized assessments, and assessment of risk and threat, including and addressing the following:
1. Engaging in behavior that poses a direct threat to his/her health, safety, and welfare or that of others.
 2. Significant disruption of the student's community or hampering the ability of others to participate in the educational and employment programs offered by the University.

D. Individual Assessment. Procedures for determining the need for a student involuntary health leave of absence shall include the following:

1. Examines multiple risk factors and utilizes a consistent process in evaluating the level of risk.
2. Incorporates the best objective evidence with health and medical advice.
3. Utilizes a multidimensional team-based approach and sharing of information, expertise, and recommendations.
4. Assesses the totality of the circumstance and associated risk
5. A focus on consideration for the health, safety and welfare of the campus community.

E. Reentry Procedures. Any application of the student involuntary health leave of absence shall incorporate re-entry procedures that are reasonable, individualized, consistently applied, and seek only necessary information to determine if a student can successfully continue. Re-entry decisions must be made using a multidimensional team-based approach and allow for flexibility, as specific requirements will vary based on facts and circumstances of each individual student situation.

F. Conduct Violations. The provisions of this section notwithstanding, behavior that is alleged to violate the standards of student conduct outlined in Section 200.010 of the Collected Rules and Regulations shall be addressed under the rules of procedures provided in Section 200.020. Should a student who has pending disciplinary action be placed on an involuntary health leave of absence, the student conduct process will proceed upon the student's return.

8. Approval of Spinal Cord Injuries and Congenital or Acquired Disease Processes Research Program Proposals

Proposals Recommended For Funding
2018

I. Aggregate Formation and Propagation of ALS-associated TDP-43

Yuna Ayala
Assistant Professor
St. Louis University

Total funding recommended \$250,000

- II. Development of a targeted ubiquitin ligase-based therapy for Giant Axonal Neuropathy
- Mark Hannink
Professor
University of Missouri-Columbia
- Total funding recommended \$94,300
- III. Application of the WMS for advanced physical training and endurance testing of manual wheelchair users
- Joseph Klaesner
Professor
Washington University
- Total funding recommended \$125,000
- IV. Understanding Normal and Aberrant miRNA-mediated Motor Neuron Astrocyte Communication
- Timothy Miller
Professor
Washington University
- Total funding recommended \$250,000
- V. Regulation of glial bridging spinal cord regeneration
- Mayssa Mokalled
Assistant Professor
Washington University
- Total funding recommended \$250,000
- VI. Harnessing an underlying mechanism of spinal plasticity to improve ventilator function in a novel model of respiratory motor neuron death
- Nicole Nichols
Assistant Professor
University of Missouri-Columbia
- Total funding recommended \$250,000

9. Sole Source – Inhaled Nitric Oxide, MUHC

That MUHC be authorized to purchase Inhaled Nitric Oxide from INO Therapeutics LLC d/b/a Mallinckrodt, Bedminster, New Jersey, at a total cost of \$836,004.

Funding is as follows:

MUHC Respiratory Therapy Operating Fund	H00703 733100
---	---------------

10. Sole Source – Chargemaster Software, MUHC

That MUHC be authorized to purchase Chargemaster Software from Craneware Inc., Atlanta, Georgia, at a total cost of \$1,792,900.

Funding is as follows:

MUHC Revenue Management Operating Fund	H2770 739800
MUHC Pharmacy Operating Fund	H0219 739800
University Physicians Operating Fund	C5279 739800

11. Revisions, University of Missouri – Kansas City School of Dentistry Standards of Professional Conduct and Honor Council Procedures, UMKC

Standards of Professional Conduct

(Approved by the Faculty of the School of Dentistry: 9/12/2002, 9/15/2011, 11/28/2011; Curator approval 4/2004; amended 8/2011; 7/2014 and 4/2018)

Preamble

Through decades of experience, education, and service, the dental and dental hygiene professions have earned the trust of patients and the public at large. This trust represents a unique relationship which, when valued and upheld, establishes the very real bond that exists when a dental professional is asked to provide patient care. Trust demands the dentist and dental hygienist place the needs of the patient ahead of their own interests. The beginnings of this intrinsic trust are developed at the University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Dentistry.

The University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Dentistry is committed to providing excellent dental care. Students* in the dental, dental hygiene and advanced education programs at the University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Dentistry are expected to conduct themselves in accordance with the high ethical standards required of health professionals. Graduates will be required to take responsibility for the health and well-being of their patients and are expected to demonstrate patterns of behavior that are consistent with professional standards and deserving of the public's trust.

These Standards of Professional Conduct are drawn from the Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct of the American Dental Association, and the American Dental Hygienists' Association Code of Ethics. Students should aspire to meet the high ideals of their professions, which may exceed their legal duties, but must meet the baseline standards expressed in the School of Dentistry's Standards of Professional Conduct. The School of Dentistry faculty should be effective advisors and mentors in the process of growth in this vital area. The matriculation process affords the opportunity to learn together, support one's colleagues and encourage growth through challenges. The following Standards of Professional Conduct articulate expectations of UMKC School of Dentistry students during the time you spend in the school as you transition into your practice of the profession of dentistry or dental hygiene. Maturation, discernment, and wisdom will be needed as you assume the role of dentist or dental hygienist.

1. **Nonmaleficence – Do No Harm:** the student strives to first do no harm.
 - a. Patients are treated according to the School of Dentistry's Standards of Care, and their preferences and desires must be considered in treatment decisions.
 - b. No harm or potential harm is done to the patient either through intent, ignorance, lack of preparation for the patient encounter, lack of skill, or personal impairment of any kind.
 - c. No patient is "abandoned," which is defined as discontinuance of care without just cause and without giving the patient adequate notice and the opportunity to obtain the services of another provider.
2. **Veracity & Fidelity:** the student maintains high standards of academic and professional honesty and integrity.
 - a. The student is honest during didactic, preclinical, and clinical communications, assignments and evaluations.
 - b. The student will not engage in, facilitate or permit unfair advantage by utilizing unauthorized aids or by assisting another student during a didactic, preclinical, or clinical evaluation in a manner not prescribed by the instructor.
 - c. The student will not alter, forge, falsify, or fabricate information, documentation, or service.
 - d. The student maintains confidentiality of patient information.
 - e. The student strives to attain high levels of competence, admit errors and faulty treatment to faculty, and does not knowingly mislead others or promote oneself at the expense of others.
 - f. The student comes to class, lab, and clinic prepared so that the time can be maximized and patient care is optimally provided.
3. **Responsibility and Sense of Duty:** the student fulfills duties and obligations of the profession of dentistry and dental hygiene which are expected by the public.
 - a. The student becomes familiar with and adheres to the codes of ethics promoted by the American Dental Association, and the American Dental Hygienists' Association
 - b. The student's responsibility parallels the responsibility of professional practitioners who maintain high professional standards by holding each other accountable.
 - c. The student maintains professional provider-patient relationships.

- d. The student is dedicated to helping patients, colleagues, the profession, and society to reach their maximal potential.
 - e. The student maintains quality of care while addressing conflicts of interest.
 - f. The student engages in personal and professional conduct that reflects positively on the UMKC School of Dentistry and the profession.
 - g. The student strives to uphold the dignity and respect of the profession of dentistry by his or her dress, personal appearance, conduct, and conversation.
4. **Justice and Respect for the Rights of Others:** the student respects the rights, privileges, and property of other members of the School of Dentistry, the University, and the professions of dentistry and dental hygiene.
- a. The student deals with faculty, staff, peers, and patients in a dignified, considerate manner and with a spirit of cooperation.
 - b. The student views and treats all people encountered in an academic, clinical or cyberspace capacity equally in regards to liberties, rights, respect, acceptance, and opportunities.
 - c. The student places the patient's welfare (i.e., the provision of competent and timely delivery of dental care within the bounds of clinical circumstances as presented by the patients, such as needs, desires and values) as paramount, taking precedence above all else. This also includes the obligation to a) identify and report perioral or other signs of abuse and neglect, and to consult with faculty to report suspected cases to proper authorities as required by law; and b) report instances of faulty treatment, whether intentional or not, to the appropriate faculty member.
 - d. All standards and requirements of patient care established by the School of Dentistry are followed at all times.
 - e. The student submits his or her own original work in a manner prescribed by the instructor and with the expectation that the grade reflects only that student's achievement.
 - f. The student is respectful toward the learning process and to those involved with it.
 - g. No student shall create a disruption in the learning process through behaviors that are not conducive to an academic and patient care environment.
 - h. The student respects the ideas and words of others by attributing the quoted or paraphrased portions to their original sources.

In addition to the conduct detailed in the preceding sections, a student is subject to the University of Missouri Student Conduct Code (Section 200.010) as administered by the Office of the Provost and the Vice Provost for Student Affairs / Dean of Students (except for provisions dealing with academic dishonesty which fall within the School of Dentistry Standards of Professional Conduct).

Anyone who has reasonable cause to believe that a student has acted unethically, or is in violation of law or policy, is obligated to take action by asking the violator to refrain from the behavior, and/or if not satisfactorily addressed by the violator or severity calls for further action, to bring the matter forward to a supervisor, or the Associate Dean for Student Programs or his/her designee. The Assistant/Associate Dean for Student Programs or his/her designee will follow the process identified in the *Procedures for*

Violations of the Standards of Professional Conduct, to determine whether there has been a violation and whether charges/sanctions should be brought. Violations for advanced education or graduate students in regards to the Standards of Professional Conduct will be monitored through the Associate Dean for Research & Graduate Programs and the Advanced Education Committee.

*Student Defined: In this document, “student” shall refer to any person having once been admitted to the School of Dentistry who has not completed a course of study and who intends to or does continue a course of study in or through the School of Dentistry. For the purpose of these rules, student status continues whether or not the University’s academic programs are in session.

Portions of the above standards language is adapted with permission from the Creighton University School of Dentistry Honor Code, ©2015-2016

Procedures for Violations of the Standards of Professional Conduct

(Approved by the Faculty of the School of Dentistry: 9/12/2002, Curator approval 4/2004; 4/2018)

I. Introduction

A. These Due Process Procedures represent those to be followed when a pre-doctoral dental student or undergraduate dental hygiene student at the University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Dentistry (School) has been charged with one or more acts of misconduct in violation of the School’s Standards of Professional Conduct (Standards). The Standards identify areas of conduct that are judged unacceptable for individuals who are either in, or aspire to, the profession of dentistry or dental hygiene. The Standards are published in the Student Handbook on Academic and Other Policies. It is distributed to all students when they initially enroll at the School and is available on the School’s Intranet. The Honor Council may devise additional rules it deems necessary to carry out these procedures as long as they are consistent with the guidelines established in this document. If the alleged act(s) of misconduct are not determined to be addressed under the Standards, the matter will be referred to the primary administrative officer of the campus pursuant to CRR 200.010 and under the rules of procedure provided under CRR 200.020.

B. Definitions:

CRR: the University of Missouri Collected Rules and Regulations.

Respondent: the student charged with one or acts of misconduct.

Preponderance of the Evidence: determining whether the evidence shows that it is more likely than not that a policy violation occurred.

II. School of Dentistry Honor Council

A. Preliminary Procedures

The Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative shall investigate any reported student misconduct* and shall give the student an

opportunity to present a personal version of the incident or occurrence. The Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative may discuss with any student such alleged misconduct and the student shall attend such consultation as requested by the Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative.

*In cases where the report of student misconduct is for alleged sex discrimination, sexual harassment or sexual misconduct, as set forth in CRR 600.030, the Assistant Dean for Student Programs will report the allegations to the Title IX Coordinator for handling in accordance with CRR 600.030.

(CRR 600.030 can be found at:

https://www.umsystem.edu/ums/rules/collected_rules/equal_employment_educational_opportunity/ch600/600.030_equity_resolution_process_for_resolving_complaints_of_harassment%20)

The Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative, together with the campus Primary Administrative Officer, shall then determine whether there is reasonable cause to believe a violation of the Standards of Professional Conduct or Student Conduct Code has occurred. If it is determined that there is reasonable cause to believe a violation of the Student Conduct Code has occurred, the campus Primary Administrative Officer shall handle the case pursuant to the CRR 200.020. If it is determined that there is reasonable cause to believe a violation of the Standards of Professional Conduct has occurred, the Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative will proceed with the process described in this document.

(CRR 200.020 can be found at:

https://www.umsystem.edu/ums/rules/collected_rules/programs/ch200/200.020_rules_of_procedures_in_student_conduct_matters)

B. Composition

The School of Dentistry Honor Council shall be composed of ten members that include four students and six faculty. Members consist of one student representative from the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th year dental classes, one student representative from the undergraduate senior dental hygiene class and six full time faculty elected at-large including at least one member from within the three faculty units defined in the Faculty Bylaws Article III, Section IV. Members shall have one vote in Council decisions, unless noted in these procedures. The chair will be a Council faculty member and will be elected by all Council members. The chair will have no vote in Council decisions except in the event of a tie vote. The student member within the Respondent's class will have no vote in Council decisions, but is able to participate in the hearing and deliberations. In order for the Council to hold a meeting a quorum must be present. A minimum of five faculty and three student members or their substitutes approved by the Council chair shall constitute a quorum. Those absent from a meeting must listen

to the tape recording in order to participate in subsequent deliberations of the case. A majority vote decides actions of the Council.

As the administrator investigating and presenting the findings, the Assistant Dean for Student Programs will not be present during the deliberations or have a vote in Council decisions. If any Honor Council member is involved in the alleged misconduct in any capacity, he/she shall be excused from all deliberations related to the case and a replacement will be appointed by the Assistant Dean for Student Programs in consultation with the Chair of the Honor Council.

Student members shall be elected from a slate of candidates that have been nominated from each respective class or identified group. Term of membership for student members on the School of Dentistry Honor Council shall be fall semester, winter semester, and summer semester of that academic year.

Elections of faculty members shall be initiated and conducted as specified in the School of Dentistry Bylaws. Elected faculty shall serve for two calendar years beginning in the fall of a calendar year. While the term of office is two years, a faculty member's term will be extended when participating in an honor code violation deliberation that has not been resolved during his/her regular term. The extension will terminate at the conclusion of those deliberations. Under these circumstances, the new electees will not participate in the deliberations that have been held over.

All deliberations of the School of Dentistry Honor Council are to remain confidential.

III. Formal Procedures

A. Notice of Hearing

The Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative shall initiate Honor Council proceedings by arranging with the chair to call a meeting of the Honor Council. UMKC email is the official university communication and notification will be sent to the Respondent's university email address with delivery receipt or personally delivered to the Respondent. The notice shall set forth the date, time and place of the alleged violation, the nature of the alleged violation(s), the policy or policies allegedly violated, and the date, time and place of the hearing before the Honor Council. The notice shall include a copy of these Due Process Procedures. The notice shall be given at least seven (7) consecutive days prior to the hearing, unless a shorter time is fixed by the chair for good cause. Any request for continuance shall be made in writing to the chair, who shall have the authority to continue the hearing if the request is timely and made for good cause. The chair shall notify the Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative and Respondent of the new date for the hearing. If the

Respondent fails to appear at the scheduled time, the Honor Council may hear and determine the matter in the Respondent's absence.

B. Rights of Respondent upon Hearing

A Respondent scheduled to appear before the School of Dentistry Honor Council pursuant to formal notice of charges shall have the right: 1) to attend classes under such conditions as may be designated pending hearing and disposition of the case by the Council, provided such attendance will not seriously disrupt the School of Dentistry or constitute a danger to the health, safety or welfare of members of the School of Dentistry community, including but not limited to patients; 2) to submit a written response to the charge outlined in the notice before the scheduled hearing is to commence; 3) to be permitted, in advance of the scheduled hearing, a review of any materials contained in his/her hearing file, which will be kept in the Student Affairs Office; 4) to receive a disclosure of the identities of witnesses to be called to testify before the Council; 5) to be present at the hearing; 6) to have an advisor or counselor of his/her choice appear with him/her and to consult with such advisor or counselor during the hearing; however, the advisor/counselor will not be allowed to question witnesses and/or members of the Council or present to the Council; 7) to hear or examine evidence presented to the Council against him/her; 8) to question witnesses present who are testifying against him/her at the hearing, and only at the hearing; 9) to present evidence by witness or affidavit of any defense the Respondent desires; 10) to make any statement to the Council in mitigation or explanation of his/her conduct in question; 11) to remain silent; 12) to be informed in writing of the findings of the Council and any discipline it recommends; and 13) to appeal the decision and/or disposition (see Section IV).

C. Rights of the School of Dentistry Honor Council

The School of Dentistry Honor Council shall have the right: 1) to hear cases arising out of the same act of misconduct together when they involve more than one Respondent; but in that event the Council shall make separate findings and recommendations for each Respondent; 2) to permit the incorporation in the record by reference any document, affidavit, or other material produced and desired to be incorporated in the record by the School or Respondent; 3) to question witnesses or other evidence introduced by either the School or the Respondent at any time; 4) to call additional witnesses or require additional investigation; 5) to dismiss any action at any time or permit informal disposition as otherwise provided; 6) to, at any time, permit or require amendment of the Notice of Hearing to include new or additional matters that may come to the attention of the Council before final determination of the case; provided, however, that in such an event the Council shall grant to the Respondent and/or School such additional time as the Council may determine to be reasonable under the circumstances to answer or explain such additional matters; 7) to dismiss any person from the hearing who interferes with or obstructs the hearing or who fails to abide by the rulings of the Chair or the Council on request.

D. Rights of Witnesses

Students, faculty and/or staff of the School who serve as witnesses at the hearing shall be protected from retaliation or harassment at or apart from the hearing by the Respondent. If retaliation or harassment of one or more witnesses by the Respondent does occur, this will be considered a separate violation of the Standards of Professional Conduct.

E. Conduct of Hearing

1. Overview

The Chair shall preside at the hearing; call the hearing to order; call the roll of the School of Dentistry Honor Council members in attendance; ascertain the presence or absence of the Respondent; read the Notice of Hearing and Charge, and certify the receipt of Notice of Hearing and Charge by the Respondent; report any continuances requested or granted; establish the presence of any advisor or counselor of the Respondent; call to the attention of the Respondent and his/her advisor any special or extraordinary procedures to be employed during the hearing; and permit the Respondent to make suggestions or objections to any procedures for the Council to consider.

2. Specific Procedures

a. Opening Statements

- 1) The Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative shall make opening remarks outlining the general nature of the case and any facts the investigation has revealed.
- 2) The Respondent may make a statement to the School of Dentistry Honor Council at this time or at the conclusion of the School's presentation during the hearing.

b. School's Evidence

- 1) Witnesses are to be called by the Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative and identified, or written reports or evidence introduced as appropriate.
- 2) The Council may question witnesses at any time.
- 3) The Respondent may question each witness at the completion of that witness' testimony; Respondent may examine evidence at the conclusion of the School's presentation of such evidence.

c. Respondent's Evidence

- 1) The Respondent shall have the opportunity to make a statement to the School of Dentistry Honor Council about the charge if he/she has not already elected to do so.
- 2) The Respondent may present evidence through witnesses or in the form of written documents as desired.

3) The Council/Assistant Dean for Student Programs may question the Respondent or his/her witnesses at the completion of the Respondent's testimony or each such witness' testimony.

d. Rebuttal Evidence

The School of Dentistry Honor Council may permit the Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative to offer a rebuttal of the Respondent's presentation.

3. Determination of School of Dentistry Honor Council

The School of Dentistry Honor Council shall promptly enter deliberations to establish its findings and recommendation in session, out of the presence of the Respondent and of the Assistant Dean for Student Programs or his/her representative. Recommendation is to be made regarding whether Respondent engaged in misconduct in violation of the Standards of Professional Conduct and if so, the sanction, if any, to be imposed.

a. Violation of Standards of Professional Conduct.

The School of Dentistry Honor Council shall determine if the preponderance of evidence presented in the hearing supports the charge of violation of the Standards of Professional Conduct. This determination shall be made by majority vote of the Council members. Each charge of misconduct, if there is more than one, shall be considered individually and/or collectively at the discretion of the Council. If the Respondent is not found to have violated the Standards of Professional Conduct, there shall be no further determination required.

b. Sanction Recommended

The School of Dentistry Honor Council shall recommend the sanction to be imposed, if any, based upon its deliberations. The following sanctions are available:

1) **No Action** — given when the Council feels the severity of the violation does not require a sanction;

2) **Reprimand** — the Respondent remains enrolled but under conditions specified by the Council. These conditions may include but are not limited to, written documentation of misconduct in the Respondent's record, probation, required remediation with a mentor, additional assignments, and practice or course work in an instructional setting (classroom, laboratory, or clinic).

3) **Suspension** — an involuntary separation of the Respondent from the institution for misconduct for a specified period of time or until special conditions have been met. Suspension differs from dismissal in that, after the stated time period or the satisfaction of specified conditions, the Respondent is eligible to resume studies in the Respondent's academic programs.

4) **Dismissal** — an involuntary separation of the Respondent from the Institution. Dismissal differs from suspension in that it does not

imply/state a minimum separation time. The Respondent may be eligible to seek readmission in the future.

5) **Expulsion** — disciplinary dismissal of a permanent nature. The Respondent is never eligible for readmission in the future.

The process to be used in determining the recommended form of sanction is as follows: each Council member will recommend, by written ballot, the form of discipline he/she feels is appropriate for the nature of the violation(s) or misconduct in question. If this vote results in a form of sanction supported by the majority of the Council, then that sanction will serve as the recommendation. If not, then further discussion of sanction to be recommended will take place followed by another vote, by written ballot, of the Council members. This process will be repeated until a recommended form of sanction is favored by the majority of the Council. A record of the final vote will be retained.

4. Official Report of Hearing and Notice to Respondent -The findings and recommendation of the School of Dentistry Honor Council serve as recommendations to the Dean of the School of Dentistry. These findings and recommendation shall be transmitted in writing by the Council chair to the Dean in writing within seven (7) consecutive calendar days following the hearing date, informing the Dean of the charge of misconduct, the findings of the Council and the recommended sanction, if any, to be imposed. A copy of this transmittal also shall be sent to the Respondent by university email with delivery receipt in the indicated time frame. An additional copy of this letter shall be sent to the Dean for Academic Affairs of the School in whose office it will be filed. This letter shall serve as the official report of the hearing unless a formal report is prepared in addition.

5. Upon receipt of the findings and recommendation of the School of Dentistry Honor Council, the Dean will, within a reasonable amount of time, make a decision to uphold, revise, or modify the decision and/or recommended sanction of the Council. The Dean's decision will be sent in writing by university email with delivery receipt to the Respondent and to the chair of the School of Dentistry Honor Council. A copy will be sent to the Academic Dean for the official hearing file. This letter shall serve as the official report of the Dean's decision.

6. Record of Hearing - A taped record of the hearing shall be maintained. The hearing record shall be maintained for five (5) years after University action, including sanction imposed (if any), is completed. The notice, exhibits, hearing record, the findings and recommendation of the School of Dentistry Honor Council, and the Dean's decision shall become the "Record of the Case" and shall be filed in the offices of the Academic Dean of the School of Dentistry and the

Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs. For the purpose of appeal, files will be accessible at reasonable times and places to both the School of Dentistry and the Respondent.

IV. Right of Appeal

A. Procedure

1. If a sanction is imposed pursuant to this process, the Respondent may appeal such decision to the Chancellor or his/her representative by filing written Notice of Appeal with the Chancellor within ten (10) business days after notification of the decision of the Dean. A copy of the Notice of Appeal will also be given by the Respondent to the Dean of the School of Dentistry at the time of filing. The Respondent may file a written memorandum for consideration by the Chancellor with the Notice of Appeal, and the Chancellor may request a reply to such memorandum by the Dean.

2. The Chancellor or his/her designated representative shall review the full record of the case and the appeal documents and may affirm, reverse or remand the case for further proceedings. The Chancellor shall notify the Dean of the School of Dentistry and the Respondent in writing of the decision on the appeal. The action of the Chancellor shall be final unless it is to remand the matter for further proceedings.

B. Status during Appeal

Where a Notice of Appeal is filed within the required time, a Respondent may petition the Chancellor in writing for permission to attend classes pending final determination of the appeal. The Chancellor may permit the Respondent to continue to attend classes under such conditions as may be designated pending completion of appeal procedures, provided such continuance will not seriously disrupt the School of Dentistry or constitute a danger to the health, safety or welfare of the School of Dentistry community. In such event, however, any final disciplinary action imposed shall be effective from the date of the Dean's decision.

V. Report of Misconduct

Where a finding that the Respondent has violated the Standards of Professional Conduct has been made, the misconduct may be reported to proper University authorities, licensing authorities, Board authorities, and others as deemed necessary for appropriate action. Records of such finding may also be forwarded to other educational institutions, as permitted under applicable laws.

The motion carried unanimously (9-0) by voice vote with no abstentions.

General Business

Approval, 2019 Board of Curators Meeting Calendar

It was recommended by Chairman Steelman, endorsed by President Choi, moved by Curator Snowden and seconded by Curator Chatman, that the proposed 2019 Board of Curators meeting calendar be approved as follows:

PROPOSED 2019 BOARD OF CURATORS MEETING CALENDAR

<u>DAYS</u>	<u>DATES</u>	<u>LOCATION</u>
Thursday-Friday	February 7-8	UM – Columbia
Tuesday (Finance Cte)	March 19	Columbia
Thursday-Friday	April 11-12	Missouri S&T
Thursday-Friday	June 20-21	Columbia, Missouri
Friday (Annual Board Development Session)	July 26	TBD
Wednesday (Finance Cte)	September 25	UM – Kansas City
Thursday-Friday	September 26-27	UM – Kansas City
Thursday-Friday	December 5-6	UM – St. Louis

The motion carried unanimously (9-0) by voice vote with no abstentions.

Board of Curators standing committee meetings were convened at 1:45 P.M. and recessed at 5:56 P.M. on Thursday, April 12, 2018. Committee actions were presented to the full Board for action following each Committee vote.

Finance Committee

Curator Brncic provided time for discussion of committee business.

Information:

Fiscal Year 2019 Tuition and Required Fees, UM – presented by Vice President Rapp (slides and information on file)

Fiscal Year 2019 Supplemental and Other Related Enrollment Fees, UM – presented by Vice President Rapp (slides and information on file)

Review Fiscal Year 2019 Operating Budget Planning, UM – presented by Vice President Rapp (slides and information on file)

Administrative Review Update – presented by Vice President Rapp (slides on file)

Action:

Five-Year Campus Capital Project Plans, UM – presented by Vice President Rapp (information on file)

It was recommended by Chancellor Cartwright, Interim Chancellor Bichelmeyer, Interim Chancellor Maples and Chancellor George, endorsed by President Choi, recommended by the Finance Committee, moved by Curator Brncic and seconded by Curator Graham, that the:

Five-Year Capital Plans:

- MU: Translational Precision Medicine Complex, School of Nursing - Renovation & Addition, and Medical Science Building- Upgrade & Maintenance of Research Vivarium
- UMKC: Conservatory of Music and Dance, Spencer Chemistry-Biological Science Renovation Phase II, and Health Sciences Interprofessional Education and Research Building
- Missouri S&T: Schrenk Hall Addition and Renovation - Phase III, Engineering Research Lab Addition and Renovation, Library/Learning Commons, and Havener Center Renovation and Expansion
- UMSL: Space Consolidation & Infrastructure, Social Science Building Renovation, and Stadler Hall Renovation
- MU Health Care: Women's & Children's Hospital Building Exterior, Primary Care Clinic Facility - Land and Building, 6 West Patient Room Maximization, and Off-site Laboratory Relocation

be approved for further planning and development.

The motion carried unanimously (9-0) by voice vote with no abstentions.

Fiscal Year 2020 State Capital Appropriations Request, UM – presented by Vice President Rapp (information on file)

It was recommended by Chancellor Cartwright, Interim Chancellor Bichelmeyer, Interim Chancellor Maples and Chancellor George, endorsed by President Choi, recommended by the Finance Committee, moved by Curator Brncic and seconded by Curator Chatman, that the following action be approved:

That President Choi be authorized to submit to the appropriate state offices the University's Fiscal Year 2020 State Capital Appropriations Request as shown on the schedule presented to the Board of Curators (and as on file with the minutes of this meeting).

The motion carried unanimously (9-0) by voice vote with no abstentions.

Compensation and Human Resources Committee

Curator Phillips provided time for discussion of committee business.

Annual Benefits Report and Annual Retirement Plan Actuarial Report, UM – presented by Interim Associate Vice President Fischer (information on file for this information item)

Academic, Student Affairs and Research & Economic Development Committee

Chairman Snowden provided time for discussion of committee business.

New Degree Proposal, PhD Music Education, MU – presented by Senior Associate Vice President Graham (information on file)

It was recommended by Sr. Associate Vice President Steve Graham, endorsed by President Mun Choi, recommended by the Academic, Student Affairs, & Research and

Economic Development Committee, moved by Curator Snowden, seconded by Curator Phillips, that the following action be approved:

that the University of Missouri, Columbia be authorized to submit the attached proposal (as on file with the minutes of this meeting) for a PhD Music Education to the Coordinating Board for Higher Education for approval.

The motion carried unanimously (9-0) by voice vote with no abstentions.

Online Education – presented by President Choi and Senior Associate Vice President Graham (slides on file for this information item)

External Affairs, Marketing and Advancement Committee

Chair Farmer provided time for discussion of committee business.

Information:

Advancement Report – University of Missouri – Columbia – presented by Mr. Tom Hiles (slides on file)

Advancement Report – Missouri University of Science and Technology – presented by Ms. Joan Nesbitt (slides on file)

Audit Committee

Chairman Graham provided time for discussion of committee business.

Information:

1. Fiscal Year 2017 Single Audit Report and NCAA Agreed Upon Procedures Reports, UM – presented by Rachel Dwiggin with BKD (slides and information on file)
2. Fiscal Year 2018 External Audit Scope, UM – presented by Rachel Dwiggin with BKD (slides and information on file)
3. Internal Audit and Consulting Quarterly Report, UM – presented by Interim Chief Audit Executive Michelle Piranio (information on file)

Health Affairs Committee

Mr. Ron Ashworth and Ms. Teresa Maledy joined the meeting as members of the Health Affairs Committee

Information:

Quarterly Financial Update (written report only) – presented by Mr. Curtright (information on file)

Recommendation for MU Health Boards Structure and Meetings – presented by President Choi and Chancellor Cartwright (slides on file)

Minutes, February 1 and March 21, 2018 Health Affairs Committee Meetings – approved as presented to the Committee.

The public session of the Board of Curators meeting recessed at 5:56 P.M.

Board of Curators Meeting – Executive Session

A meeting of the University of Missouri Board of Curators was reconvened in executive session at 6:10 P.M., on Thursday, April 12, 2018, in the Silver and Gold Room of the Havener Center on the Missouri University of Science and Technology campus, Rolla, Missouri, pursuant to public notice given of said meeting. Curator David L. Steelman, Chair of the Board of Curators, presided over the meeting.

Present

The Honorable Julia G. Brncic
The Honorable Darryl M. Chatman
The Honorable Jamie L. Farmer
The Honorable Maurice B. Graham
The Honorable Jeffrey L. Layman
The Honorable John R. Phillips
The Honorable Phillip H. Snowden
The Honorable David L. Steelman
The Honorable Jon T. Sundvold

Also Present

Dr. Mun Y. Choi, President
Mr. Stephen J. Owens, General Counsel
Ms. Cindy Harmon, Secretary of the Board of Curators

Health Affairs Committee – Executive Session

Mr. Ron Ashworth and Ms. Teresa Maledy joined the meeting as members of the Health Affairs Committee.

Others who joined the meeting included Chancellor Cartwright, Mr. Jonathon Curtright, Dean Delafontaine, Interim Vice President Rapp, Mr. Robert Hess, Mr. Blake Schofield and Ms. Jeri Doty.

Report on personnel, contract items and legal advice – presented by Mr. Robert Hess, Mr. Curtright and President Choi

No action taken by the Board.

Chancellor Cartwright, Mr. Jonathon Curtright, Dean Delafontaine, Interim Vice President Rapp, Mr. Robert Hess, Mr. Blake Schofield and Ms. Jeri Doty excused themselves from the meeting.

Audit Committee Meeting – Executive Session

Ms. Rachel Dwiggin, Mr. Nick Chambers and Mr. Michael Flaxbeard with BKD joined the meeting.

President Choi and General Counsel Owens excused themselves from the meeting.

Annual Communication with External Auditors

No action taken by the Board.

Members of BKD excused themselves from the meeting.

Review of BKD Performance

No action taken by the Board.

The Board of Curators meeting recessed at 7:08 P.M. on Thursday, April 12, 2018.

Chancellor's Tapas-Style Dinner for the Board of Curators, President and General Officers (By Invitation)

6:45 – 8:00 P.M.

Thursday, April 12, 2018

Hosted by: Interim Chancellor Christopher G. Maples and Ms. Sara Marcus
Location: Kummer Student Design Center, 1051 North Bishop Avenue, Rolla, Missouri
Program: Award-Winning Design Projects

BOARD OF CURATORS MEETING

Missouri S&T Faculty Senate Breakfast and Presentation with the Board of Curators
8:00 – 8:45 A.M.
Friday, April 13, 2018

Topic: Elevating Missouri through Research and Education
Location: St. Pat's Ballroom C, Havener Center

PUBLIC SESSION

A meeting of the University of Missouri Board of Curators reconvened in public session at 9:05 A.M., on Friday, April 13, 2018, in St. Pat's Ballroom A&B of the Havener Center on the Missouri University of Science and Technology campus, Rolla, Missouri, pursuant to public notice given of said meeting. Curator David L. Steelman, Chair of the Board of Curators, presided over the meeting.

Present

The Honorable Julia G. Brncic
The Honorable Darryl M. Chatman
The Honorable Jamie L. Farmer
The Honorable Maurice B. Graham
The Honorable Jeffrey L. Layman
The Honorable John R. Phillips
The Honorable Phillip H. Snowden
The Honorable David L. Steelman
The Honorable Jon T. Sundvold

Also Present

Dr. Mun Y. Choi, President
Mr. Stephen J. Owens, General Counsel
Ms. Cindy Harmon, Secretary of the Board of Curators
Dr. Gary K. Allen, Vice President for Information Technology
Dr. Barbara A. Bichelmeyer, Interim Chancellor for University of Missouri – Kansas City
Dr. Alexander Cartwright, Chancellor for University of Missouri-Columbia
Mr. Jonathan Curtright, Chief Executive Officer, MU Health

Ms. Marsha Fisher, Interim Associate Vice President for Human Resources
Dr. Thomas F. George, Chancellor for University of Missouri-St. Louis
Dr. Steven W. Graham, Senior Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs
Dr. Chris Maples, Interim Chancellor for Missouri University of Science and Technology
Dr. Mark McIntosh, Vice President for Research and Economic Development
Dr. Kevin G. McDonald, Chief Diversity Officer
Ms. Michelle M. Piranio, Interim Chief Audit Executive
Mr. Ryan D. Rapp, Vice President for Finance
Dr. Marshall Stewart, Vice Chancellor, Extension and Engagement
Ms. Christine Holt, Chief of Staff, UM System
Mr. Christian Basi, Director of Media Relations
Media representatives

General Business

University of Missouri Board Chair Report – presented by Chair Steelman (slides on file)

The Board Chair spoke about the importance of shared governance and collaboration for the University.

University of Missouri System President's Report – presented by President Choi (slides on file)

The President discussed strategic goals and their progress.

Missouri University of Science and Technology Strategic Plan Highlights – presented by Interim Chancellor Maples

Critical Issue Discussion – The University Task Force – discussion led by University Task Force Chair Chatman, AGB Consultant, Dr. Terrence MacTaggart and Mr. Nick Beydler (slides on file)

Further discussion is planned for the June 22, 2018 Board of Curators meeting. No action taken by the Board.

General Business

Good and Welfare

Draft June 21-22, 2018 Board of Curators meeting agenda – no discussion (on file)

The public session of the Board of Curators meeting adjourned at 12:10 P.M. on Friday, April 13, 2018.

Executive Session

A meeting of the University of Missouri Board of Curators was reconvened in executive session at 12:25 P.M., on Friday, April 13, 2018, in the Silver and Gold Room of the Havener Center on the Missouri University of Science and Technology campus, Rolla, Missouri, pursuant to public notice given of said meeting. Curator David L. Steelman, Chair of the Board of Curators, presided over the meeting.

Present

The Honorable Julia G. Brncic
The Honorable Darryl M. Chatman
The Honorable Maurice B. Graham
The Honorable Jeffrey L. Layman
The Honorable John R. Phillips
The Honorable Phillip H. Snowden
The Honorable David L. Steelman
The Honorable Jon T. Sundvold

The Honorable Jamie L. Farmer was absent for this executive session.

Also Present

Dr. Mun Y. Choi, President
Mr. Stephen J. Owens, General Counsel
Ms. Cindy Harmon, Secretary of the Board of Curators
Ms. Courtney Lauer, Student Representative to the Board of Curators

General Business

General Counsel's Report and Litigation Report, continued – presented by General Counsel Owens

Ms. Courtney Lauer excused herself from the meeting due to a conflict.

No action taken by the Board.

Ms. Lauer rejoined the meeting.

Consent Agenda – Executive Session

It was endorsed by President Choi, moved by Curator Graham and seconded by Curator Layman, that the following items be approved by consent agenda:

Consent Agenda

1. Property Lease, Lot #2, Fraternity Drive, Rolla, Missouri, Missouri S&T
2. Curators' Professor Emeritus, Allan Pringle, Missouri

1. Property Lease, Lot #2, Fraternity Drive, Rolla, Missouri, Missouri S&T -

that the Vice President for Finance be authorized to extend the lease of Lot #2, Fraternity Drive, Rolla, Missouri with the Eta Chapter of Beta Sigma Psi from December 31, 2033, to December 31, 2049. Additionally, approval is requested to change the maximum debt allowed by the lease from \$320,000 to \$3,000,000 and to increase the performance bonding requirement threshold from \$1,000 to \$25,000.

2. Curators' Professor Emeritus, Allan Pringle, Missouri S&T –

that upon the recommendation of Interim Chancellor Maples it is recommended that Professor Allan Pringle be named to the position University of Missouri Curators' Distinguished Teaching Professor Emeritus posthumously, effective 03/01/2017.

Roll call vote of the Board:

Curator Brncic voted yes.
Curator Chatman voted yes.
Curator Farmer was absent.
Curator Graham voted yes.
Curator Layman voted yes.
Curator Phillips voted yes.
Curator Snowden voted yes.
Curator Steelman voted yes.
Curator Sundvold voted yes.

The motion carried.

President's Report on personnel and contracts – presented by President Choi.

Interim Chancellor Bichelmeyer and Vice President Rapp joined the meeting.

No action taken by the Board.

General Counsel's Report and Litigation Report, continued – presented by General Counsel Owens.

Interim Chancellor Bichelmeyer and Vice President Rapp excused themselves from the meeting.

No action taken by the Board.

There being no other business to come before the Board of Curators, the meeting was adjourned at 1:52 P.M. on Friday, April 13, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,



Cindy S. Harmon
Secretary of the Board of Curators
University of Missouri System

Approved by the Board of Curators on June 21, 2018.