WRITING THE DECISION	
UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI	
7777 C	
DECISION ELEMENTS (CRR 600.030)	
<ul> <li>Date, time, location, participants in the hearing</li> <li>Decision on any preliminary matters</li> </ul>	
Findings based on the preponderance of the evidence by a majority	
vote of the panel	
<ul> <li>Statement of the evidence in support of the findings</li> <li>Statement of any information excluded from consideration</li> </ul>	
Statement of how each member votes	
<ul> <li>Statement of sanctions</li> </ul>	
COME THINGS TO CONCIDED WHEN WRITING THE DEGICION	
SOME THINGS TO CONSIDER WHEN WRITING THE DECISION	
The decision needs to be written so that someone who is not familiar with the case can understand what happened.	
Follow the template.	
<ul> <li>You have to set forth findings of fact and cite the support for those findings. A conclusion that a policy was violated is not a finding of fact.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Avoid using charged or inflammatory language in the decision.</li> <li>Be as precise as possible.</li> </ul>	
Be as precise as possitive.     Be consistent in how you are referring to individuals or places in the decision.	
<ul> <li>Avoid using pronouns as they can be confusing, but if you do use pronouns, make sure you are using the correct pronouns for the individual.</li> </ul>	

SANCTIONS: FACTORS CONSIDERED	
<ul> <li>The nature, severity of, and circumstances surrounding the violation;</li> </ul>	
The disciplinary history of the Respondent;	
<ul> <li>The need for sanctions/remedial actions to bring an end to the discrimination, harassment and/or retaliation;</li> </ul>	
The need for sanctions/remedial actions to prevent the future recurrence of  The need for sanctions/remedial actions to prevent the future recurrence of	
discrimination, harassment and/or retallation; and	
<ul> <li>The need to remedy the effects of the discrimination, harassment and/or retaliation on the Complainant and the University community.</li> </ul>	
EXAMPLES OF SANCTIONS IN STUDENT MATTERS  • Expulsion	
Suspension for a fixed period of time	
From MU or the entire MU System     Implemented in conjunction with discretionary sanctions	
Dismissal Requirement to request readmission	
Determination at a later point based upon evidence of remediation	
Trespass comeone from campus     Limit their access to certain areas of campus	
Residence Halls     Campus Dining	
Perking Structures Colleges	
Rec Center	
EXAMPLES OF SANCTIONS IN STUDENT MATTERS (CON'T)  Completion of Programs related to the conduct violation	
BASICS     Implict Bias Training	
<ul> <li>Programs as directed by OCRT9</li> </ul>	
Probetion No contact with Complainant	
Cr witnesses     Verbal or Written Warning	
Restitution	
Loss of privileges (access to campus wifl, email, parking)     Withdrawal of recognition (of a student organization)	
<ul> <li>Other discretionary sanctions: Community service (most likely used in non-sexual misconduct matters), reflection paper, personal growth/development plan/essey, etc</li> </ul>	
Fixed period of time or until graduation	

EXAMPLES OF SANCTIONS IN FACULTY MATTERS	
Recommend termination	
Recommend removal from appointed position     Department Chair     No contract with Complainant	
Or witnesses     Move office locations     Prohibities from certain buildings or areas of campus	
Written reprimend in personnel file     Remove supervisory or evaluative duties from Respondent over Complainant or others involved	
EXAMPLES OF SANCTIONS IN FACULTY MATTERS (CON'T)	
Verbel or written warning     Performance Improvement Plan	
Required training/education  Loss of annual psy increase	
Suspension without pay     Nonnerweld of appointment     Adjustment/loss of privileges/duties (e.g. cannot advise a student group or lead a study abroad program or serve	
on a particular committee or attend certain types of department functions, etc.)	
DELIBERATIONS	
UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI	
738	
77 O	

HEARING PANEL DELIBERATIONS (CRR 600.030 Q.12)	
<ul> <li>The Hearing Panel will deliberate with no others present, except any legal advisor to the Hearing Panel, to find whether the Respondent is responsible or not responsible for the policy violation(s) in question.</li> <li>The most difficulty and the process</li> </ul>	
Offens comes down to an assessment of creditability Incomplete information is the norm Quality of questioning during bearing a critical	-
<ul> <li>Panels rarely see the clear cut cases</li> <li>The Hearing Panel will base its finding on a preponderance of the evidence (i.e., whether it is more likely than not that the Respondent committed each alleged violation).</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>If a Respondent is found responsible by a majority of the Hearing Panel, the Hearing Panel will determine appropriate sanctions and remedial actions by a majority rote.</li> </ul>	
GOAL - CLARITY OF ANALYSIS	
Charges Evidence Findings Decision	
onarges — Evidence — I maings — Decision	